The Photolysis of Carbon Suboxide in the Presence of Olefins

By R. T. K. BAKER, J. A. KERR, and A. F. TROTMAN-DICKENSON. (Edward Davies Chemical Laboratory, Aberystwyth)

THE photolysis of carbon suboxide in the presence of olefins has been investigated in the gas phase at 0° . The reaction vessel was quartz and the light from a medium-pressure mercury arc was filtered to

remove radiation below 2200 Å. Bayes¹ found that the following mechanism described the photolysis with ethylene:

$$C_3O_2 + h_V = :CCO + CO \tag{1}$$

$$:CCO + C_{3}H_{4} = CO + C_{3}H_{4}$$
(2)

$$:CCO + C_3O_2 = nCO + polymer$$
(3)

The C_3H_4 was a mixture of allene and propyne, nwas found to be 1. Assuming a steady concentration of : CCO and at low conversions,

$$(CO/C_3H_4) - 2 = 2(k_3/k_2) [C_3O_2]/[C_2H_4]$$

A plot of $[(CO/C_3H_4) - 2][C_2H_4]$ against $[C_3O_2]$ is

a straight line through the origin of slope $2k_3/k_2$. For ethylene, Bayes found $k_3/k_2 = 1.4$ against 1.3in this work. The results in the table which are independent of pressure between 15 and 200 torr show that the reactivites of olefins with : CCO vary with structure in a way exactly opposite to that for other biradical species, for which similar data have been published. The variation is also different from that found with monoradicals. However, Frey² has found that CH₃CH: radicals produced from the photolysis of diazoethane react much faster with the C=C bond in propene than with either cis- or trans-but-2-enes. Also like :CCO radicals, ethylidene radicals show very little tendency to insert into a C-H bond.

Relative Reactivity of Olefins with Biradicals

| Olefin | | •• | •• | | :CCO | | :CBr ₂ | :CCl | :0 | :S | :Se |
|--------------------------------------|---------|-----|-----|-------|--------------|--------|-------------------|--------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | Diene | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Alkyne | | | | | |
| Ethylene | •• | | | | 1.00 | 3.7 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Propene | | •• | | | 0.313 | 12.8 | | | 5.8 | $3 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 6$ |
| But-l-ene | | • • | | | 0.101 | 31.0 | 0.07^{a} | 0.023ª | 5.8 | 3.6 | 7.1 |
| Isobutene | | •• | •• | | 0.096 | 19.2 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 25.0 | _ | 44.7 |
| cis-But-2-en | e | | •• | | 0.155 | 29.5 | | _ | $23 \cdot 8$ | | 2.40 |
| trans-But-2- | ene | | | | 0.091 | 140 | | | 28.3 | | 56.0 |
| Trimethylethylene | | | | 0.040 | 45 ·0 | 3.20 | 2.90 | 79.3 | _ | | |
| Tetramethy | lethyle | ne | •• | | 0.020 | 8 | 3.50 | 6.60 | 101.8 | | |
| References ^a Hex-1-ene | | •• | • • | •• | This | work | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

(Received July 2nd, 1965; Com. 419.)

¹ K. D. Bayes, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1962, 84, 4077.

- ¹ H. M. Frey, J. Chem. Soc., 1962, 441, 2293.
 ² H. M. Frey, J. Chem. Soc., 1962, 441, 2293.
 ³ P. S. Skell and A. V. Garner, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1956, 78, 5430.
 ⁴ W. Von E. Doering and W. A. Henderson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1958, 80, 5274.
 ⁵ R. J. Cvetanović, Adv. Photochem., 1963, 1, 139.
 ⁶ R. O. Stavara on H. E. Comping I. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1962, 84, 4080.
- P. O. Strausz and H. E. Gunning, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1962, 84, 4080.
 A. B. Callear and W. J. R. Tyerman, Proc. Chem. Soc., 1964, 296.